

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/813,870	03/30/2004	Prasad V. Chaturvedula	CT-2773 NP	5562
23914 75	90 07/19/2005		EXAM	INER
STEPHEN B. DAVIS			DAVIS, ZINNA NORTHINGTON	
BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY PATENT DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 4000			1625	
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-4000			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	10/813,870	CHATURVEDULA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zinna Northington Davis	1625
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a resplay within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are withdrawr		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☒ ac		by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	-	· ·
•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		· · ———
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies flot	i cociveu.
Attachment/e)		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) T Intentions	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/02/04. 	8) 5) ☐ Notice of In 6) ☐ Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 072005

M

Application/Control Number: 10/813,870 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-12 are pending.

2. In the response filed June 8, 2005, Applicants have elected Group I, Claims 1-11

with traverse. The compound of Example 18 is the preferred species.

3. Applicants state the restriction is traversed because the requirement has created

more of a burden on the examiner than examining the claims. The method of treatment

claims relate directly to the scope of the compound claims and will be rejoined with

subsequently allowed compound claims under MPEP 821.04, as noted by the examiner.

Response to Applicant's Traversal of the Restriction Requirement

It is the examiner's position that:

> The restriction requirement does not create an undue burden.

> The compounds are examined as a whole. However, the method of claim 12 is

withdrawn from consideration.

In order to retain the right to rejoinder, applicants are advised that the method

claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on

the product claim or to otherwise include all the limitations of the product claims.

> Applicants are reminded of propriety of process of use claims in consideration of

the "reach-through" format, which is drawn to mechanistic, receptor binding or

enzymatic functionality.

Claim 12 is drawn to a "reach through" format.

> As such, the restriction requirement is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,870 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

4. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 2, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - A. Claims 2 and 9 improperly depend on claim 1. At claim 1, there is no stereochemistry.
 - B. Claim 7 depends upon itself, which is improper.
 - C. At claims 2 and 9, the period should be deleted after the term"stereochemistry". A period is needed at the end of the depicted compound.
- 7. Claim 1 is allowed.
- 8. Claims 3-6, 8, 10, and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,870

Art Unit: 1625

9. The Information Disclosure Statement filed July 2, 2004 has been considered.

The references alone or in combination form do not teach nor suggest structurally

similar compounds as those instantly claimed. Accordingly, no rejections based upon

prior art are made.

10. On July 8, 2005, a telephone call was made to Applicant's Representative to

resolve the matter above. However, a return call has not been received.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Zinna Northington Davis whose telephone number is

571-272-0682.

12. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zima Northington Davis
Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 1625